Holonomy algebras of pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifolds of signature $(4, 4)$

Natalia I. Bezvitnaya

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University in Brno, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic

arXiv:1003.2573v2 [math.DG] 3 Oct 2010

Abstract

Possible holonomy algebras of pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifolds of signature $(4, 4)$ are classified. Using this, a new proof of the classification of simply connected pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric spaces of signature $(4, 4)$ is obtained.

Keywords: Pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold, pseudo-hyper-Kählerian manifold, holonomy algebra, curvature tensor, symmetric space
2000 MSC: 53C29, 53C26

1. Introduction

The classification of holonomy algebras of Riemannian manifolds is well known and it has a lot of applications both in geometry and physics, see e.g. [5, 7, 9, 17, 15]. Lately the theory of pseudo-Riemannian geometries has been steadily developing. In particular, a classification of holonomy algebras of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds is an actual problem of differential geometry. It is solved only in some cases. Holonomy algebras of 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds are classified in [23]. Classification of holonomy algebras of Lorentzian manifolds is obtained in [3, 20, 10, 12]; classification of holonomy algebras of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2 is achieved in [11]. These algebras are contained in $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$, respectively. In [16] a partial classification of holonomy algebras of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature $(2, n)$ is obtained. In [4] holonomy algebras contained in $\mathfrak{so}(n, n)$ and living invariant two n-dimensional isotropic complementary subspaces are considered. In [4, 14] holonomy algebras of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature $(2, 2)$ are classified. More details can be found in the recent review [13].

As the next step, in this paper we begin to study the holonomy algebras contained in $\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4, 4n+4)$. Here we consider the case $n = 0$. The technic developed in the present paper together with the results from [8] will allow to get the classification for arbitrary n, this will be done in another paper.

Recall that a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of signature $(4r, 4s)$, $r + s > 1$ together with a parallel quaternionic structure $Q \subset \mathfrak{so}(TM)$, i.e. three-dimensional linear Lie algebra Q with a local basis I_1, I_2, I_3 which satisfies the relations $I_1^2 = I_2^2 = I_3^2 = -\mathrm{id}$, $I_3 = I_1I_2 = -I_2I_1$. The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of such manifold is contained in $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$. Conversely, any simply connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold with such holonomy algebra is pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian.

Quaternionic-Kählerian geometry is of increasing interest both in mathematics and mathematical physics, see e.g. [1, 2, 18, 21, 22, 25].

A pseudo-hyper-Kählerian manifold is a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold such that the endomorphisms I_1, I_2, I_3 are defined globally and parallel. In this case, the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$.

Email address: bezvitnaya@math.muni.cz (Natalia I. Bezvitnaya)

In [2] it is proved that the curvature tensor R of a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of signature $(4r, 4s)$ can be written as

$$R(X,Y) = \nu R_0 + \mathcal{W}, \tag{1}$$

where $\nu = \frac{\mathrm{scal}}{4m(m+2)}$ $(m = r+s)$ is the reduced scalar curvature,

$$R_0(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} g(X, I_\alpha Y) I_\alpha - \frac{1}{4}\left(X \wedge Y + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} I_\alpha X \wedge I_\alpha Y \right), \tag{2}$$

$X, Y \in TM$, is the curvature tensor of the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{PH}^{r,s}$, and $\mathcal{W}$ is an algebraic curvature tensor with zero Ricci tensor. It is proved that $\mathrm{scal} \neq 0$ if and only if the holonomy algebra contains $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$. This shows that a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold (M, g) is pseudo-hyper-Kählerian if and only if its scalar curvature is zero. In [2] it is proved also that any pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold with non-zero scalar curvature is locally indecomposable, i.e. it is not locally a product of two pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of positive dimension.

We prove the following theorem[1].

Theorem 1. *Let (M, g) be a non-flat pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of signature $(4,4)$. Then (M, g) is locally indecomposable. If the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ of (M, g) is not irreducible then one of the following holds:*

1) *there exists a basis $p_1, ..., p_4, q_1, ..., q_4$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ such that the metric on $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ has the Gram matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_4 \\ E_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, it holds*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R}) \right\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1,1), \tag{3}$$

and the hyper-complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ can be chosen in the following way:

$$I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_3 = I_1 I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ K & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_2 \\ E_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

2) *$\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a 4-dimensional isotropic I_1, I_2, I_3-invariant subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,4}$.*

Here the pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ is considered as the tangent space at some point of the manifold (M, g).

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a 4-dimensional I_1, I_2, I_3-invariant isotropic subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. We identify $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ with the pseudo-quaternionic Hermitian space $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$. Then $W \subset \mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ is an isotropic quaternionic line. We fix isotropic vectors $p \in W$ and $q \in \mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ such that $g(p,q) = 1$, then $W = \mathbb{H}p$ and $\mathbb{H}^{1,1} = \mathbb{H}p \oplus \mathbb{H}q$. Denote by $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ the maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ that preserves the quaternionic isotropic line $\mathbb{H}p$, this Lie algebra has the matrix form:

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p} = \left\{ \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -\bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in \mathbb{H},\, b \in \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H} \right\}. \tag{4}$$

Here $\mathrm{Op}\, A$ denotes the $\mathbb{H}$-linear endomorphism of $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ given by a matrix A, see Section 2.

We prove the following two theorems.

[1] In the first version of this paper the first possibility for $\mathfrak{g}$ in Theorem 1 was missed, this is pointed out by Bastian Brandes. The mistake was caused by the false statement that if $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ is an irreducible subalgebra with a non-trivial first prolongation, then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$. In fact, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ is the second possibility for $\mathfrak{h}$.

Theorem 2. *Let (M,g) be a non-flat pseudo-hyper-Kählerian manifold of signature $(4,4)$. Suppose that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ of (M,g) preserves a 4-dimensional isotropic I_1,I_2,I_3-invariant subspace $W\subset\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to one of the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$:*

$$\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p},\qquad \mathfrak{g}_2=\left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ 0 & -\bar a\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, a,b\in\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}\right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_3=\left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ 0 & -\bar a\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, a\in\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{R}i,\ b\in\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}\right\},\qquad \mathfrak{g}_4=\left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}0 & b\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, b\in\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}\right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_5=\left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ 0 & -\bar a\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, a\in\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{R}k,\ b\in\mathbb{R}i\oplus\mathbb{R}j\right\},\quad \mathfrak{g}_6=\left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}0 & b\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, b\in\mathbb{R}i\oplus\mathbb{R}j\right\}.$$

Theorem 3. *Let (M,g) be a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of signature (4,4) with non-zero scalar curvature. Suppose that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ of (M,g) preserves a 4-dimensional isotropic I_1,I_2,I_3-invariant subspace $W\subset\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to one of the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$:*

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p},\qquad \mathfrak{h}_0=\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & -\bar a\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, a\in\mathbb{H}\right\}.$$

The last Lie algebra can be written as $\mathfrak{h}_0=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}A & 0\\ 0 & -A^t\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, A\in\mathfrak{co}(4)\right\}$.

To prove these theorems we use the fact that a holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ is a Berger algebra, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by the images of the algebraic curvature tensors $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ of type $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the space of linear maps from $\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the first Bianchi identity. We find all subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$, find the spaces $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{g})$ and check which of these algebras are Berger algebras. Remark that in the above two theorems only possible holonomy algebras are listed. We do not know if all these algebras may appear as the holonomy algebras, to show this examples of manifolds must be constructed.

In Section 6 we give the spaces $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ for each algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ from Theorems 2 and 3.

In [2] a classification of simply connected pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric spaces of non-zero scalar curvature is obtained. In [1, 18, 19] simply connected pseudo-hyper-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 4 are classified.

In Section 7 we show that if the manifold (M,g) is locally symmetric, then its holonomy algebra is one of the following:

$$\left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}0 & b\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, b\in\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}\right\},\quad \left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}0 & b\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, b\in\mathbb{R}i\oplus\mathbb{R}j\right\},\quad \mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\left\{\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & -\bar a\end{pmatrix}\middle|\, a\in\mathbb{H}\right\}.$$

Using this, we get a new proof of the classification of pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric spaces of signature (4,4) with non-irreducible holonomy algebras. We give explicitly the curvature tensors of the obtained spaces.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to D. V. Alekseevsky and Jan Slovák for useful discussions, help and support. I am thankful to Bastian Brandes for pointing out a mistake in the first version of this paper. The author has been supported by the grant GACR 201/09/H012.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{H}^m$ be an m-dimensional quaternionic vector space. A pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian metric g on $\mathbb{H}^m$ is a non-degenerate $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear map $g:\mathbb{H}^m\times\mathbb{H}^m\to\mathbb{H}$ such that $g(aX,Y)=ag(X,Y)$ and $\overline{g(Y,X)}=g(X,Y)$, where $a\in\mathbb{H}$, $X,Y\in\mathbb{H}^m$. Hence, $g(X,aY)=g(X,Y)\bar a$. There exists a basis $e_1,...,e_m$ of $\mathbb{H}^m$ and integers (r,s) with $r+s=m$ such that $g(e_t,e_l)=0$ if $t\neq l$, $g(e_t,e_t)=-1$ if $1\leq t\leq p$ and $g(e_t,e_t)=1$ if $p+1\leq t\leq m$. The pair (r,s) is called the signature of g. In this situation we denote

$\mathbb{H}^m$ by $\mathbb{H}^{r,s}$. The realification of $\mathbb{H}^m$ gives us the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$ with the quaternionic structure (i,j,k). Conversely, a quaternionic structure on $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$, i.e. a triple (I_1,I_2,I_3) of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$ such that $I_1^2=I_2^2=I_3^2=-\mathrm{id}$ and $I_3=I_1I_2=-I_2I_1$, allows us to consider $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$ as $\mathbb{H}^m$. A pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian metric g on $\mathbb{H}^m$ of signature (r,s) defines on $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$ the i,j,k-invariant pseudo-Euclidean metric η of signature $(4r,4s)$, $\eta(X,Y)=\mathrm{Re}g(X,Y)$, $X,Y\in\mathbb{R}^{4m}$. Conversely, a I_1,I_2,I_3-invariant pseudo-Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$ defines a pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian metric g on $\mathbb{H}^m$,

$$g(X,Y)=\eta(X,Y)+i\eta(X,I_1Y)+j\eta(X,I_2Y)+k\eta(X,I_3Y).$$

We will identify $1,i,j,k$ with I_0,I_1,I_2,I_3, respectively. The identification $\mathbb{R}^{4r,4s}\simeq\mathbb{H}^{r,s}$ allows to multiply the vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{4r,4s}$ by quaternionic numbers.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(r,s)$ is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{sp}(r,s)&=\{f\in\mathfrak{so}(4r,4s)|[f,I_1]=[f,I_2]=[f,I_3]=0\}\\&=\{f\in\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H}^{r,s})|\,g(fX,Y)+g(X,fY)=0\text{ for all }X,Y\in\mathbb{H}^{r,s}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ the subalgebra in $\mathfrak{so}(4r,4s)$ generated by the $\mathbb{R}$-linear maps I_1,I_2,I_3.

Clearly, the tangent space of a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold (M,g) at a point $x\in M$ one can identify with $(\mathbb{R}^{4r,4s},\eta_x,(I_1)_x,(I_2)_x,(I_3)_x)=(\mathbb{H}^{r,s},g_x)$. Then the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold is identified with a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(r,s)$.

Let (V,η) be a pseudo-Euclidean space and $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(V)$ be a subalgebra. The space of curvature tensors $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ of type $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})=\{R\in\mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^2V,\mathfrak{g})\mid R(u\wedge v)w+R(v\wedge w)u+R(w\wedge u)v=0\ \textit{for all}\ u,v,w\in V\}.$$

It is known that any $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies

$$\eta(R(u\wedge v)z,w)=\eta(R(z\wedge w)u,v)\tag{5}$$

for all $u,v,w,z\in V$.

Denote by $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by the elements $R(u\wedge v)$ for all $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $u,v\in V$. *A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ is called a Berger algebra if $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))=\mathfrak{g}$.* From the Ambrose-Singer theorem it follows that if $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(V)$ is the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra. Therefore, Berger algebras may be considered as the candidates to the holonomy algebras.

Now we summarize some facts about quaternionic vector spaces. Let $\mathbb{H}^m$ be an m-dimensional quaternionic vector space and $e_1,...,e_m$ a basis of $\mathbb{H}^m$. We identify an element $X\in\mathbb{H}^m$ with the column (X_t) of the left coordinates of X with respect to this basis, $X=\sum_{t=1}^m X_te_t$. Let $f:\mathbb{H}^m\to\mathbb{H}^m$ be an $\mathbb{H}$-linear map. Define the matrix Mat_f of f by the relation $fe_l=\sum_{t=1}^m(\mathrm{Mat}_f)_{tl}e_t$. Now if $X\in\mathbb{H}^m$, then $fX=(X^t\,\mathrm{Mat}_f^t)^t$ and because of the non-commutativity of the quaternionic numbers this is not the same as $\mathrm{Mat}_f\,X$. Conversely, to an $m\times m$ matrix A of the quaternionic numbers we put in correspondence the linear map $\mathrm{Op}\,A:\mathbb{H}^m\to\mathbb{H}^m$ such that $\mathrm{Op}\,A\cdot X=(X^tA^t)^t$. If $f,g:\mathbb{H}^m\to\mathbb{H}^m$ are two $\mathbb{H}$-linear maps, then $\mathrm{Mat}_{fg}=(\mathrm{Mat}_g^t\,\mathrm{Mat}_f^t)^t$. Note that the multiplications by the imaginary quaternionic numbers are not $\mathbb{H}$-linear maps. Also, for $a,b\in\mathbb{H}$ holds $\overline{ab}=\bar b\bar a$. Consequently for two square quaternionic matrices we have $(\overline{AB})^t=\bar B^t\bar A^t$.

Let $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(r,s))$. Using (5) it is easy to show that for any $1\le\alpha\le3$ and $X,Y\in\mathbb{R}^{4r,4s}$,

$$R(I_\alpha X,Y)=-R(X,I_\alpha Y)\tag{6}$$

holds. Hence,

$$R(xX,Y)=R(X,\bar xY)\tag{7}$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{H}$ and $X,Y\in\mathbb{R}^{4r,4s}$.

Let $W\subset\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ be an I_1,I_2,I_3-invariant isotropic subspace. Then W may be seen as an isotropic line in $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$. Fix a nonzero vector $p\in W$ then $W=\mathbb{H}p$ and $g(p,p)=0$. Let $q\in\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ be any vector such that

$g(q,q)=0$ and $g(p,q)=1$. Obviously, such vector exists. Any other $q'\in\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ with this property has the form $q'=\alpha p+q$, where $\alpha\in\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. We get the basis (p,q) of $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ the maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ that preserves the quaternionic isotropic line $\mathbb{H}p$. This algebra has the matrix form (4). One can easily find that the Lie brackets are the following:

$$\left[\operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ 0 & -\bar a\end{pmatrix},\operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\right]=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\operatorname{Im}ba\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\left[\operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0\\ 0 & -\bar a_1\end{pmatrix},\operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0\\ 0 & -\bar a_2\end{pmatrix}\right]=\begin{pmatrix} a_2a_1-a_1a_2 & 0\\ 0 & -(\overline{a_2a_1-a_1a_2})\end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_1,a_2\in\mathbb{H}$ and $b\in\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. We get the decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}=(\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H}))\ltimes\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})=\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. We use this notation in order to differ it from the last term in the above decomposition. Below for the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ 0 & -\bar a\end{pmatrix}$ is using the notation (a,b).

Coming back to $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ we get the basis $p,I_1p,I_2p,I_3p,q,I_1q,I_2q,I_3q$ with respect to this basis the Gram matrix of η has the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_4\\ E_4 & 0\end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}=\left\{\left.\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & -a_3 & 0 & -b_1 & -b_2 & -b_3\\ a_1 & a_0 & a_3 & -a_2 & b_1 & 0 & b_3 & -b_2\\ a_2 & -a_3 & a_0 & a_1 & b_2 & -b_3 & 0 & b_1\\ a_3 & a_2 & -a_1 & a_0 & b_3 & b_2 & -b_1 & 0\\ & & & & -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & -a_3\\ & & 0 & & a_1 & -a_0 & a_3 & -a_2\\ & & & & a_2 & -a_3 & -a_0 & a_1\\ & & & & a_3 & a_2 & -a_1 & -a_0\end{pmatrix}\right| a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3,\ b_1,b_2,b_3\in\mathbb{R}\right\}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}\not\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$. In [2] it is proved that (M,g) is locally indecomposable. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is not irreducible, then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a proper degenerate subspace $W\subset\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. Obviously, W is I_1,I_2,I_3-invariant. Consequently, $\dim W=4$. Note that $W\cap W^\perp$ is non-trivial, isotropic, I_1,I_2,I_3-invariant and preserved by $\mathfrak{g}$, hence $W\cap W^\perp$ has dimension 4, i.e. $W=W\cap W^\perp$ and W is isotropic.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$.

Lemma 1. *If $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ is the holonomy algebra and $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a non-degenerate 4-dimensional vector subspace $W\subset\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ such that $W\cap I_sW=0$ for some $s\in\{1,2,3\}$, then $\mathfrak{g}=0$.*

Proof. Since W is non-degenerate, we get the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}=W\oplus W^\perp$. Then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}_1\oplus\mathfrak{h}_2$, where $\mathfrak{h}_1\subset\mathfrak{so}(W)$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2\subset\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ are pseudo-Riemannian holonomy algebras. For any $x\in W$, $I_s(x)$ can be uniquely written as $I_s(x)=\varphi_1(x)+\varphi_2(x)$, where $\varphi_1:W\to W$ and $\varphi_2:W\to W^\perp$ are linear maps. Since $W\cap I_sW=0$, φ_2 is an injective, it is clear that it is an isomorphism. Let $\xi\in\mathfrak{h}_1$. The condition $\xi I_s=I_s\xi$ implies $\xi\varphi_1(x)=\varphi_1(\xi x)+\varphi_2(\xi x)$. Hence, $\varphi_2(\xi x)=0$. Since φ_2 is an injective, $\xi x=0$ for all $\xi\in\mathfrak{h}_1$ and $x\in W$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{h}_1=0$. Let $\xi\in\mathfrak{h}_2$, $x\in W$. We have $\xi I_s(x)=I_s\xi(x)=0$, therefore $\xi\varphi_2(x)=0$. Since φ_2 is an isomorphism, $\xi W^\perp=0$. Thus, $\xi=0$ and then $\mathfrak{h}_2=0$. □

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a non-degenerate vector subspace $W\subset\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. Let $W_1=W\cap I_1W$. If $\dim W<4$, then taking $W^\perp$ instead of W, we get that $W_1\neq0$. If $\dim W=4$, then from Lemma 1 it follows that $W_1\neq0$. Let $W_2=W_1\cap I_2W_1$. By the same arguments, we have that $W_2\neq0$. Hence $W_2\subset\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ is a non-degenerate I_1,I_2,I_3-invariant subspace and $\dim W_2=4$. We get that $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(W_2)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(W_2^\perp)=\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)$. Since $\mathfrak{sp}(1)\subset\mathfrak{so}(4)$ is not a Riemannian holonomy algebra, $\mathfrak{g}=0$.

Thus, if $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ is a non-trivial holonomy algebra, then it does not preserve any non-degenerate subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ (such algebra is called weakly-irreducible).

Lemma 2. *If $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ is a holonomy algebra and $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves an isotropic 4-dimensional vector subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ such that $W \cap I_s W = 0$ for some $s \in \{1,2,3\}$, then either $\mathfrak{g} = 0$ or $\mathfrak{g}$ is the algebra 1) from the statement of the theorem.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $W \cap I_2 W = 0$. Let $p_1, ..., p_4$ and $q_1, ..., q_4$ be bases of W and $I_2 W$, respectively, such that $\eta(p_i, q_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Then the metric η has the Gram matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_4 \\ E_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Consequently,

$$\mathfrak{g} \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathfrak{gl}(4,\mathbb{R}) \right\}. \tag{8}$$

Since I_2 is η-invariant, we get $I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where B and C are 4×4-squire matrices such that $B^t = -B$ and $C^t = -C$. Since $I_2^2 = -\mathrm{id}$, we get that $CB = BC = -E_4$, hence, $C = -B^{-1}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ commutes with I_2, for any matrix from (8) holds $A^t B + BA = 0$. Let ω be the skew-symmetric bilinear form on W given by the matrix B with respect to the basis $p_1, ..., p_4$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}|_W \subset \mathfrak{sp}(W, \omega)$. If $\mathfrak{g} \neq 0$, then since $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)$ is weakly-irreducible, $\mathfrak{g}|_W \subset \mathfrak{sp}(W,\omega)$ must be irreducible. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is easy to see that $R(X,Y) = 0$ whenever $X, Y \in W$ or $X, Y \in I_2 W$. Next, for each fixed $Y \in I_2 W$, $R(Y, \cdot|_W)|_W$ belongs to the first prolongation $(\mathfrak{g}|_W)^{(1)}$ of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}|_W \subset \mathfrak{sp}(W,\omega) = \mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$. If $(\mathfrak{g}|_W)^{(1)} = 0$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = 0$. The only irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ with non-trivial first prolongations are $\mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ [9]. Thus $\mathfrak{g}|_W$ is either one of $\mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{C})$, or $\mathfrak{g} = 0$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}|_W = \mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{C})$. Let I denote the corresponding complex structure on W. The vectors $p_1, ..., p_4$ may be chosen in such a way that $p_3 = Ip_1$, $p_4 = Ip_2$ and $B = J$, where J is as in the statement of the theorem. Choose the vectors $q_1, ..., q_4$ such that $q_3 = Iq_1$, $q_4 = Iq_2$ and $\eta(p_i, q_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Recall that the hyper-complex structure (I_1, I_2, I_3) must commute with $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that if the elements I_1, I_2, I_3 are not fixed, then they are not defined canonically, while only $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{I_1, I_2, I_3\}$ is defined canonically. Let $\begin{pmatrix} D & H \\ F & -D^t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(4,4)$, then $F^t = -F$ and $H^t = -H$. This element commutes with $\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $DA = AD$, $A^t F + FA = 0$ and $AH + HA^t = 0$, for all $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$. Clearly, D is a linear combination of E_4 and I, and F and H are linear combinations of J and K. We already know that $I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. There exist $a_1, ..., a_6 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 E_4 + a_2 I & a_5 J + a_6 K \\ a_3 J + a_4 K & -a_1 E_4 + a_2 I \end{pmatrix}$. The condition $I_1 I_2 = -I_2 I_1$ implies $a_3 = a_5 = 0$ and $a_4 = a_6$. The condition $I_1^2 = -\mathrm{id}$ implies $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 a_4 = 0$ and $a_1^2 + a_4^2 = 1$. Taking $a_2 = 1$ and $a_4 = 0$, we get that I_1, I_2, I_3 are as in the statement of the theorem. Obviously, the other choose of a_2 and a_4 will define the same $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{I_1, I_2, I_3\}$.

Further, we may assume that either $\mathfrak{g}|_W = \mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathfrak{g} = 0$.

Consider now I_1. Suppose that $W \cap I_1 W \neq 0$. If $\dim W \cap I_1 W = 2$, then $\mathfrak{g}|_W$ preserves $W \cap I_1 W$, consequently $\mathfrak{g} = 0$. If $\dim W \cap I_1 W = 4$, i.e. I_1 preserves W, then $\mathfrak{g}|_W$ commutes with $I_1|_W$, hence $\mathfrak{g} = 0$.

Suppose that $W \cap I_1 W = 0$. Then there exist two isomorphisms φ_1 and φ_2 of W such that $I_1(x) = \varphi_1(x) + I_2\varphi_2(x)$ for all $x \in W$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ commutes with I_1, $\mathfrak{g}|_W$ commutes with both φ_1 and φ_2. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}|_W = \mathfrak{sp}(W,\omega)$ and φ_1 and φ_2 are multiples of the identity. The condition $I_1^2 = -\mathrm{id}$ implies

$$-x = I_1^2(x) = I_1(\varphi_1(x) + I_2\varphi_2(x)) = I_1\varphi_1(x) + I_1 I_2 \varphi_2(x) = \varphi_1^2(x) + \varphi_2^2(x) + I_2[\varphi_2, \varphi_1](x) = \varphi_1^2(x) + \varphi_2^2(x).$$

This gives a contradiction. We get that $\mathfrak{g} = 0$. □

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a degenerate vector subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. Let $W_1 = W \cap I_2 W$. If $\dim W < 4$, then taking $W^\perp$ instead of W, we get that $W_1 \neq 0$. If $\dim W = 4$, then from Lemma 2 it follows that either $W_1 \neq 0$ or $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies condition 1) of the statement of the theorem. Suppose that $W_1 \neq 0$. Let $W_2 = W_1 \cap I_1 W_1$. By the same arguments, we may assume that $W_2 \neq 0$. Note that by the above arguments, all proper $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant subspaces are degenerate. Hence $W_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ is a degenerate I_1, I_2, I_3-invariant subspace and $\dim W_2 = 4$. Since $W_2 \cap W_2^\perp \neq 0$ and it is a I_1, I_2, I_3-invariant subspace, it coincides with W_2, i.e. W_2 is isotropic. The theorem is proved. □

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let us find the space of curvature tensors $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p})$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$.
Using the form η, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(4,4)$ can be identified with the space

$$\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{4,4} = \mathrm{span}\{u\wedge v = u\otimes v - v\otimes u | u,v\in\mathbb{R}^{4,4}\}$$

in such a way that $(u\wedge v)w = \eta(u,w)v - \eta(v,w)u$ for all $u,v,w\in\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. The metric η defines the metric $\eta\wedge\eta$ on $\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. One can check that the element $\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -\bar{a}\end{pmatrix}\in\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ corresponds to the bivector

$$\begin{aligned}
&-a_0(p\wedge q + ip\wedge iq + jp\wedge jq + kp\wedge kq) + a_1(p\wedge iq - ip\wedge q + kp\wedge jq - jp\wedge kq)\\
&+a_2(-jp\wedge q + p\wedge jq - kp\wedge iq + ip\wedge kq) + a_3(-kp\wedge q + jp\wedge iq - ip\wedge jq + p\wedge kq)\\
&+b_1(p\wedge ip - jp\wedge kp) + b_2(p\wedge jp + ip\wedge kp) + b_3(p\wedge kp - ip\wedge jp).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p} = &\Big(\mathbb{R}(p\wedge q + ip\wedge iq + jp\wedge jq + kp\wedge kq)\oplus\mathbb{R}(p\wedge iq - ip\wedge q + kp\wedge jq - jp\wedge kq)\\
&\oplus\mathbb{R}(-jp\wedge q + p\wedge jq - kp\wedge iq + ip\wedge kq)\oplus\mathbb{R}(-kp\wedge q + jp\wedge iq - ip\wedge jq + p\wedge kq)\Big)\\
&\ltimes\Big(\mathbb{R}(p\wedge ip - jp\wedge kp) + \mathbb{R}(p\wedge jp + ip\wedge kp) + \mathbb{R}(p\wedge kp - ip\wedge jp)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ be a subalgebra, $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. Using the above identification, R can be considered as the map

$$R:\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{4,4}\to\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(4,4)\simeq\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{4,4}.$$

From (5), we obtain

$$\eta\wedge\eta(R(u\wedge v), z\wedge w) = \eta\wedge\eta(R(z\wedge w), u\wedge v) \tag{9}$$

for all $u,v,z,w\in\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. This shows that R is a symmetric linear map. Consequently R is zero on the orthogonal complement to $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. In particular, the vectors

$$q\wedge iq + jq\wedge kq,\quad q\wedge jq - iq\wedge kq,\quad q\wedge kq + iq\wedge jq,\quad I_rp\wedge I_sp$$

are contained in the orthogonal complement to $\mathfrak{g}$. Hence,

$$R(q\wedge iq) = -R(jq\wedge kq),\quad R(q\wedge jq) = R(iq\wedge kq),\quad R(q\wedge kq) = -R(iq\wedge jq),\quad R(I_rp\wedge I_sp) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Proposition 1. *Any $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p})$ has the following form*

$$R(I_rp\wedge I_sq) = \mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{rs}\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},\quad R(I_rq\wedge I_sq) = \mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix} C_{rs} & D_{rs}\\ 0 & -\bar{C}_{rs}\end{pmatrix},\quad R(I_rp\wedge I_sp) = 0. \tag{11}$$

Here the numbers $C_{01}, C_{02}\in\mathbb{H}$, $d_1,...,d_5\in\mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary, the numbers $C_{rs}\in\mathbb{H}$, $B_{rs}, D_{rs}\in\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{03} = C_{02}i - C_{01}j,\quad C_{rs} = C_{0r}I_s - C_{0s}I_r,\\
&B_{r0} = \frac{1}{2}(I_1I_rC_{01} + I_2I_rC_{02} + I_3I_rC_{03}),\quad B_{rs} = I_rC_{0s} + I_sB_{r0},\\
&D_{01} = d_1i + d_2j + d_3k,\quad D_{02} = d_2i + d_4j + d_5k,\quad D_{03} = jD_{01} - iD_{02},\quad D_{rs} = I_rD_{0s} - I_sD_{0r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $C_{rs}=-C_{sr}$, $D_{rs}=-D_{sr}$, and this is not necessary the case for B_{rs}.

Proof. Let $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p})$. From (10) it follows that $R(I_rp\wedge I_sp)=0$. Define the numbers $A_{rs},C_{rs}\in\mathbb{H}$, $B_{rs},D_{rs}\in\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ such that

$$R(I_rp\wedge I_sq)=\operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix}A_{rs} & B_{rs}\\ 0 & -\bar{A}_{rs}\end{pmatrix},\quad R(I_rq\wedge I_sq)=\operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix}C_{rs} & D_{rs}\\ 0 & -\bar{C}_{rs}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

From the Bianchi identity

$$R(I_rp,I_sq)I_tp+R(I_sq,I_tp)I_rp+R(I_tp,I_rp)I_sq=0$$

it follows $I_tR(I_rp,I_sq)p-I_rR(I_tp,I_sq)p=0$, then $I_tA_{rs}p-I_rA_{ts}p=0$, and we have

$$I_tA_{rs}-I_rA_{ts}=0. \tag{13}$$

In the same way, from

$$R(I_rp,I_sq)I_tq+R(I_sq,I_tq)I_rp+R(I_tq,I_rp)I_sq=0,$$

we get

$$I_tB_{rs}+I_rC_{st}-I_sB_{rt}=0. \tag{14}$$

Also,

$$R(I_rq,I_sq)I_tq+R(I_sq,I_tq)I_rq+R(I_tq,I_rq)I_sq=0$$

implies that

$$C_{rs}\bar{I}_t+C_{st}\bar{I}_r+C_{tr}\bar{I}_s=0. \tag{15}$$

and

$$I_tD_{rs}+I_rD_{st}+I_sD_{tr}=0. \tag{16}$$

From (13), under the condition $t=0$ it follows that $A_{rs}=I_rA_{0s}$. Substituting this back to (13), $(I_tI_r-I_rI_t)A_{0s}=0$ holds. Taking $t=1$, $r=2$, we get $A_{0s}=0$. Hence, $A_{rs}=0$ for all r,s.

Further, (15) for $t=0$ implies

$$C_{rs}=C_{0r}I_s-C_{0s}I_r \text{ for all } r,s\neq 0. \tag{17}$$

From (15) and (10) for $t=1,r=2,s=3$, we have $C_{03}=C_{02}i-C_{01}j$. Also, from (10), $C_{01}=-C_{23},C_{02}=C_{13},C_{03}=-C_{12}$. Similarly, using (16), we get $D_{rs}=I_rD_{0s}-I_sD_{0r}$ for all r,s, from (16) and (10) $D_{03}=jD_{01}-iD_{02}$ and $D_{01}=-D_{23},D_{02}=D_{13},D_{03}=-D_{12}$. Note that $D_{rs}\in\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ for any r,s, therefore $D_{01}=d_1i+d_2j+d_3k$ and $D_{02}=d_6i+d_4j+d_5k$, where $d_1,...,d_6\in\mathbb{R}$. The condition $D_{03}\in\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ implies $d_6=d_2$.

Taking $s=0$ in (14), we get

$$B_{rt}=I_tB_{r0}+I_rC_{0t}.$$

Substituting this back to (14), we find B_{r0}. Using this, we find B_{rs}. □

Now let us prove that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ is a Berger algebra. Consider R as above, with $D_{01}=0$. Then $R(I_0q\wedge I_1q)=\operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix}C_{01} & 0\\ 0 & -\bar{C}_{01}\end{pmatrix}$. Since C_{01} can be arbitrary, $\{(a,0)|a\in\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})\}\subset L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}))$. On the other hand, let R be as above with $C_{rs}=0$. Since $D_{01}=d_1i+d_2j+d_3k$ and d_1,d_2,d_3 are arbitrary, we get $\{(0,b)|B\in\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}\}\subset L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}))$. The inverse inclusion $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}))\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ is obvious. Thus, $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}=L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}))$, i.e. $\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ is a Berger algebra.

Now we consider all subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$, find the spaces of curvature tensors $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ and check which of these subalgebras are Berger algebras.

We will use the following obvious facts. Let $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{f}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$, then

$$R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ if and only if } R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{f}) \text{ and } R(\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{4,4})\subset\mathfrak{g}; \tag{18}$$

$$R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ if and only if } R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{f}) \text{ and } R|_{\mathfrak{g}^\perp} = 0, \tag{19}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement to $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{f}$.

Consider a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H}) \ltimes \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Then we have the following cases:

I. $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \subset \mathfrak{g}$; II. $\dim(\mathfrak{g} \cap \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}) = 2$; III. $\dim(\mathfrak{g} \cap \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}) = 1$; IV. $\mathfrak{g} \cap \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} = 0$.

Case I. $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. In this case, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$ is a subalgebra. Since there are no 2-dimensional subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$, we get one of the following subcases:

I.1. $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H}) \ltimes \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. It follows from (18) that $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p})$ and $C_{rs} \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, i.e. $C_{01} = c_1 i + c_2 j + c_3 k$, $C_{02} = c_6 i + c_4 j + c_5 k$, where $c_1, ..., c_6 \in \mathbb{R}$. The condition $C_{03} \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ implies $c_6 = c_2$. This shows that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra.

I.2. $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}(1,0) \oplus \mathbb{R}(a,0)$, where $a \in \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$.

Lemma 3. *Let (p,q) be an isotropic basis of $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ such that $g(p,q) = 1$ and $A = \operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{H}^{1,1} \to \mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ is an $\mathbb{H}$-linear map, where $a = \alpha i + \beta j + \gamma k \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$. Then there exists a new isotropic basis (p', q') of $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ such that $g(p', q') = 1$ and $A = \operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to this basis.*

Proof. Let $p' = xp$ and $q' = xq$, where $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \in \mathbb{H}$, $x_0, ..., x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $Ap' = xA(p) = x(\alpha i + \beta j + \gamma k)p$. We impose the condition $Ap' = ip'$, i.e $Ap' = ixp$. Hence, $(x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k)(\alpha i + \beta j + \gamma k) = i(x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k)$. This gives the following homogeneous system of four linear equations with respect to four unknowns:

$$(-\alpha + 1)x_1 - \beta x_2 - \gamma x_3 = 0, \quad (\alpha - 1)x_0 + \gamma x_2 - \beta x_3 = 0,$$
$$\beta x_0 - \gamma x_1 + (\alpha - 1)x_3 = 0, \quad \gamma x_0 + \beta x_1 - (\alpha + 1)x_2 = 0.$$

Since the determinant of this system equals $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 1)^2 = 0$, then there exists a non-trivial solution. One can choose this solution in such a way that $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k$ satisfies $x\bar{x} = 1$. Then $Aq' = iq'$ and $g(p', q') = g(xp, xq) = xg(p, q)\bar{x} = 1$. □

By this lemma, choosing a new basis, we may assume that $a = i$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathbb{R}(1,0) \oplus \mathbb{R}(i,0)) \ltimes \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. From (18), $C_{rs} \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}i$, i.e. $C_{01} = c_1 + c_2 i$ and $C_{02} = c_3 + c_4 i$, here $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $C_{03} = C_{02}i - C_{01}j = c_3 i - c_4 - c_1 j + c_2 k \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}i$. This implies that $C_{01} = 0$ and $C_{02} = c_3 + c_4 i$, where $c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary. It is clear that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra.

I.3. $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}(a,0)$, where $a = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$, $a_0, ..., a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from Lemma 3 that there is a new basis in which $a = \alpha + \beta i$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$. Thus, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}(\alpha + \beta i, 0) \ltimes \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Using (18), we have $C_{rs} \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha + \beta i)$. Let $C_{01} = c_1(\alpha + \beta i)$, $C_{02} = c_2(\alpha + \beta i)$, where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $C_{03} = C_{02}i - C_{01}j = c_2(\alpha i - \beta) - c_1\alpha j - c_1\beta k \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha + \beta i)$. Since $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$, the vectors $\alpha + \beta i$ and $-\beta + \alpha i$ are not proportional. Hence, $c_1 = c_2 = 0$. Thus, $C_{rs} = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H})$, therefore $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = L(\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H})) \neq \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra.

I.4. $\mathfrak{h} = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Any $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by (11) with $C_{rs} = B_{rs} = 0$. Obviously, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra.

Note that from the two previous cases follows

Lemma 4. *Any subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})) \ltimes \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ such that $\dim \operatorname{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} = 1$ is not a Berger algebra.*

Below we will need the following lemmas:

Lemma 5. *Let (p,q) be an isotropic basis of $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ such that $g(p,q) = 1$ and in this basis $A = \operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{H}^{1,1} \to \mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ is an $\mathbb{H}$-linear map, where $a = \alpha i + \beta j + \gamma k \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$. Then there exists a new isotropic basis (p', q') of $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ such that $g(p', q') = 1$ and $A = \operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to this basis.*

Proof. As in Lemma 3, let $p' = xp$, $q' = xq$, where $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \in \mathbb{H}$, $x_0, ..., x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $Aq' = xAq = xap$ and Aq' is equal to ip'. We get the homogeneous system as in the proof of Lemma 3 and it has the required solution. □

Lemma 6. *Let (p,q) be an isotropic basis of $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ such that $g(p,q)=1$. Let $A=\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}0&i\\0&0\end{pmatrix}, B=\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}0&\alpha j+\beta k\\0&0\end{pmatrix}:\mathbb{H}^{1,1}\to\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ be $\mathbb{H}$-linear maps, where $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha^2+\beta^2=1$. Then there exists a new isotropic basis (p',q') of $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ such that $g(p',q')=1$ and $A=\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}0&i\\0&0\end{pmatrix}$, $B=\mathrm{Op}\begin{pmatrix}0&j\\0&0\end{pmatrix}$ with respect to this basis.*

Proof. Let $p'=(x+yi)p$, $q'=(x+yi)q$, where $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$. Then $Aq'=(x+yi)Aq=i(x+yi)p=ip'$. Next, $Bq'=(x+yi)Bq=(x+yi)(\alpha j+\beta k)p$, and Bq' must be equal to jp'. Therefore we get the homogeneous system of two linear equations with two unknowns:

$$(\alpha-1)x-\beta y=0,\ \beta x+(\alpha+1)y=0.$$

Since $\alpha^2+\beta^2=1$, it follows that this system has a non-trivial solution (x,y), it can be choose in such a way that $x^2+y^2=1$. Then $g(p',q')=((x+yi)p,(x+yi)q)=(x+yi)g(p,q)\overline{(x+yi)}=x^2+y^2=1$. □

Case II. $\dim(\mathfrak{g}\cap\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H})=2$. Using Lemmas 5 and 6, one can choose a new basis, such that $\mathfrak{g}\cap\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{R}(0,i)\oplus\mathbb{R}(0,j)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ can be written as

$$\mathfrak{g}=\{(a,\theta(a)k)|\ a\in\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}\}\ltimes\mathbb{R}(0,i)\oplus\mathbb{R}(0,j),$$

where $\theta:\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a linear map. Let $a=a_0+a_1i+a_2j+a_3k\in\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}$, where $a_0,...,a_3\in\mathbb{R}$. It holds

$$[(a,\theta(a)k),(0,i)]=(0,2\operatorname{Im}ia)=(0,2(a_0i+a_2k-a_3j))\in\mathfrak{g},$$
$$[(a,\theta(a)k),(0,j)]=(0,2(a_0j-a_1k+a_3i))\in\mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence, $a_1=a_2=0$, i.e. $a=a_0+a_3k$. This implies that $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathbb{R}(1,0)\oplus\mathbb{R}(k,0)$.

If $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}=0$, then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathbb{R}(0,i)\oplus\mathbb{R}(0,j)$. Any $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given as in (11) with $C_{rs}=0$, $B_{rs}=0$ and $D_{01}\in\mathbb{R}i\oplus\mathbb{R}j$. Also, note that $(q\wedge kq-iq\wedge jq)\in\mathfrak{g}^\perp$. On the other hand, $(q\wedge kq+iq\wedge jq)\in(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p})^\perp$ and $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$. By (19), this means that $R(q\wedge kq)=0$, $R(iq\wedge jq)=0$, i.e. $D_{03}=0$ and $D_{02}=-kD_{01}$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra.

If $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}=1$, then by Lemma 4, $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra.

Let $\dim\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}=2$, i.e. $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}=\mathbb{R}(1,0)\oplus\mathbb{R}(k,0)$. In the case $\theta=0$ we have $\mathfrak{g}=(\mathbb{R}(1,0)\oplus\mathbb{R}(k,0))\ltimes(\mathbb{R}(0,i)\oplus\mathbb{R}(0,j))$. Let us check that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra. Let $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. As it is observed above, $(q\wedge kq-iq\wedge jq)\in\mathfrak{g}^\perp$ and $(q\wedge kq+iq\wedge jq)\in\mathfrak{g}^\perp$. By (19), this means that $R(q\wedge kq)=0$, $R(iq\wedge jq)=0$, i.e. $D_{03}=0$ and $D_{02}=-kD_{01}$. Moreover, from (18), $D_{01}\in\mathbb{R}i\oplus\mathbb{R}j$. For the same reason, $C_{03}=0$ and $C_{02}=C_{01}k$. Also, from (18), $C_{01}\in\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{R}k$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra.

Further, suppose that $\theta\neq0$. Let $\ker\theta=\mathbb{R}(\alpha+\beta k)$, where $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha^2+\beta^2\neq0$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}=(\mathbb{R}(\alpha+\beta k,0)\oplus\mathbb{R}(-\beta+\alpha k,\gamma k))\ltimes(\mathbb{R}(0,i)\oplus\mathbb{R}(0,j)),$$

where $\gamma=\theta(-\beta+\alpha k)$. Next,

$$[(\alpha+\beta k,0),(-\beta+\alpha k,\gamma k)]=(0,2\alpha\gamma k)\in\mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence, $\alpha=0$. Then we may assume that $\beta=1$. With respect to the new basis $p'=p$, $q'=q+\frac{\gamma}{2}kp$, we get $\mathfrak{g}=(\mathbb{R}(1,0)\oplus\mathbb{R}(k,0))\ltimes(\mathbb{R}(0,i)\oplus\mathbb{R}(0,j))$. This algebra has been already considered above.

Case III. $\dim(\mathfrak{g}\cap\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H})=1$. By Lemma 5, in the new basis $\mathfrak{g}\cap\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{R}(0,i)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}=\{(a,\theta(a))|\ a\in\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}\}\ltimes\mathbb{R}(0,i),$$

where $\theta:\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{R}(0,j)\oplus\mathbb{R}(0,k)$ is a linear map. Let $a=a_0+a_1i+a_2j+a_3k\in\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}$, where $a_0,...,a_3\in\mathbb{R}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, we get $[(a,\theta(a)),(0,i)]=(0,2(a_0i+a_2k-a_3j))\in\mathfrak{g}$. Hence,

$a_2 = a_3 = 0$, i.e. $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{R}(1,0) \oplus \mathbb{R}(i,0)$. One can easily see that if $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} = 0$, i.e $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}(0,i)$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra. Also, if $\dim \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} = 1$, then by Lemma 4, $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra.

Now let $\dim \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} = 2$. Then $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}(1,0) \oplus \mathbb{R}(i,0)$. Writing $\theta(a) = \theta_1(a)j + \theta_2(a)k$, where $a \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}i$, we obtain

$$[(1,\theta_1(1)j + \theta_2(1)k), (i,\theta_1(i)j + \theta_2(i)k)] = (0, 2\,\mathrm{Im}(\theta_1(i)j + \theta_2(i)k)) - (0, 2\,\mathrm{Im}(\theta_1(1)j + \theta_2(1)k)i)$$
$$= (0, 2(\theta_2(i) + \theta_1(1))k + 2(\theta_1(i) - \theta_2(1))j) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence, $\theta_2(1) = \theta_1(i)$ and $\theta_2(i) = -\theta_1(1)$. There exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\theta(1) = -\alpha j + \beta k$, $\theta(i) = \beta j + \alpha k$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}(1, -\alpha j + \beta k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(i, \beta j + \alpha k) \ltimes \mathbb{R}(0, i)$. Using Mathematica 4.0, we find that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra.

Case IV. $\dim(\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}) = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a, \theta(a))|\ a \in \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}\}$, where $\theta : \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$ is a linear map. Put $\theta(a) = \theta_1(a)i + \theta_2(a)j + \theta_3(a)k$. As follows from Lemma 4, if $\dim \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} = 1$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra. Now consider the case when $\dim \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} \geq 2$, i.e. $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H}) \neq 0$. Let $a \in \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$ and $\theta(a) \neq 0$. By Lemma 5, there is a new basis such that $\theta(a) = i$. Then we have $A = (a, i)$. Choosing again a new basis $p' = p$, $q' = q - \frac{a}{\|a\|}ip$, one can get $A = (a, 0)$. Further, from Lemma 3 it follows that $A = (i, 0)$, i.e. $\theta(i) = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra,

$$[(i,0), (a,\theta(a))] = (2a_3 j - 2a_2 k, 2\theta_3(a)j - 2\theta_2(a)k) \in \mathfrak{g},$$
$$[(i,0), (a_3 j - a_2 k, \theta_3(a)j - \theta_2(a)k)] = (-2a_2 j - 2a_3 k, -2\theta_2(a)j - 2\theta_3(a)k) \in \mathfrak{g},$$

here $a = a_0 + a_2 j + a_3 k$, i.e. $(a_0 + a_2 j + a_3 k, \theta_1(a)i + \theta_2(a)j + \theta_3(a)k) \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $(a_2 j + a_3 k, \theta_2(a)j + \theta_3(a)k) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence, $(a_0, \theta_1(a)i) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $(a_0, \theta_1(a_0)i + \theta_2(a_0)j + \theta_3(a_0)k) \in \mathfrak{g}$, we get $\theta_2(a_0) = \theta_3(a_0) = 0$ and $\theta_1(a_2 j + a_3 k) = 0$, i.e. $\theta_1(a) = \theta_1(a_0)$.

There are two cases:

1. $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$, i.e. $a_0 = 0$ for any $a \in \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}$. We already know that $(0, i) \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all other elements from $\mathfrak{g}$ have the form $(a_2 j + a_3 k, \theta_2(a_2 j + a_3 k)j + \theta_3(a_2 j + a_3 k)k)$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, then

$$[(i,0), (a_2 j + a_3 k, \theta_2(a_2 j + a_3 k)j + \theta_3(a_2 j + a_3 k)k)] = (2a_2 k - 2a_3 j, 2\theta_2(a_2 j + a_3 k)k - 2\theta_3(a_2 j + a_3 k)j) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

On the other hand, $\theta(a_3 j - a_2 k) = \theta_2(a_3 j - a_2 k)j + \theta_3(a_3 j - a_2 k)k$. Hence, $\theta_2(a_3 j - a_2 k) = \theta_3(a_2 j + a_3 k)$ and $\theta_3(a_3 j - a_2 k) = -\theta_2(a_2 j + a_3 k)$. Since $a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary, these equations are equivalent to $\theta_2(k) = -\theta_3(j)$ and $\theta_3(k) = \theta_2(j)$. Let $\theta_2(j) = \alpha$ and $\theta_2(k) = \beta$. Thus, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}(i,0) \oplus \mathbb{R}(j, \alpha j - \beta k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(k, \beta j + \alpha k)$. We check with Mathematica 4.0 that $\dim \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra.

2. There is $a \in \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})}\mathfrak{g}$ such that $a_0 \neq 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H}) \ltimes \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$. From above, $(1, \theta_1(1)i) \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}(1, \theta_1(1)i) \oplus \mathbb{R}(i, 0) \oplus \mathbb{R}(j, \alpha j - \beta k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(k, \beta j + \alpha k)$. We have $[(1, \theta_1(1)i), (j, \alpha j - \beta k)] = (0, 2\alpha j - 2\beta k - 2\theta_1(1)k) \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H} = 0$. Therefore, $\alpha = 0$ and $\theta_1(1) = -\beta$. Consequently,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}(1, -\beta i) \oplus \mathbb{R}(i, 0) \oplus \mathbb{R}(j, -\beta k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(k, \beta j).$$

Choosing the basis $p' = p, q' = q - \frac{1}{2}\beta ip$, we get $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a, 0)|a \in \mathbb{H}\}$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$, then $D_{rs} = 0$ and $B_{rs} = 0$. Consequently, $C_{rs} = 0$ and $R = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra. The theorem is proved. □

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \not\subset \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$. From (1) it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)) = \mathbb{R}R_0 \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)), \tag{20}$$

where R_0 is given by (2) with $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. Consider the subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \{(a,0)|a \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathbb{R}E_4 \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \right\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}. \tag{21}$$

It is not hard to check that the element R_1 defined by

$$R_1(I_sp, I_rq) = -I_rp \wedge I_sq + I_sp \wedge I_rq - \delta_{sr} \begin{pmatrix} E_4 & 0 \\ 0 & -E_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_1(I_sp, I_rp) = R_1(I_sq, I_rq) = 0 \tag{22}$$

belongs to the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_0)$. From this, (20) and the equality $\mathcal{R}(\{(a,0)|a \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})\}) = 0$ it follows that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_0) = \mathbb{R}R_1$. This and (20) show that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}) = \mathbb{R}R_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}). \tag{23}$$

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ is a Berger algebra, $\mathfrak{g} \not\subset \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{h}_0$. Then there exists $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $R = \nu R_1 + R'$, $\nu \neq 0$ and $R' \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p})$. From above we get that $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{h}_0} R(I_rp, I_sq) = R_1(I_rp, I_sq)$, hence $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{h}_0}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_0$, here the projection is taken with respect to the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \ltimes \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}.$$

Hence for some $b \in \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$ the element $\xi = (1,b)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$. If $b \neq 0$, then choosing the basis p, q', where $q' = q - \frac{1}{2}bp$, we get that $\xi = (1,0) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\xi_1 = A + (a,b) \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, $a \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$ and $b \in \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$. Then, $[\xi, \xi_1] = (0, 2b) \in \mathfrak{g}$. This shows that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \ltimes L$, where $L \subset \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$. The Lie brackets of elements from $\mathfrak{h}_0$ and L are given by the representation of $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$ on $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$. Since this representation is irreducible, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$. Thus if $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ is a Berger algebra and $\mathfrak{g} \not\subset \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$, then either $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$, or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_0$. Obviously, the both algebras are Berger algebras. □

6. The spaces $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$

In the proofs of the previous theorems we have found the spaces $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ for all Berger subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$. Here we summaries these results. For the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ is found in Proposition 1. For the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_2, ..., \mathfrak{g}_6$ the spaces $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be found as in Proposition 1 with the following additional conditions:

$\mathfrak{g}_2$: $C_{01} = c_1 i + c_2 j + c_3 k$, $C_{02} = c_2 i + c_4 j + c_5 k$, where $c_1, ..., c_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary;

$\mathfrak{g}_3$: $C_{01} = 0$, $C_{02} = c_0 + c_1 i$, where $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary;

$\mathfrak{g}_4$: $C_{01} = C_{02} = 0$;

$\mathfrak{g}_5$: $C_{01} = c_0 + c_3 k$, $C_{02} = c_0 k - c_3$, $d_3 = d_5 = 0$, $d_4 = -d_1$, where $c_0, c_3, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary;

$\mathfrak{g}_6$: $C_{01} = C_{02} = 0$, $d_3 = d_5 = 0$, $d_4 = -d_1$, where $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary.

Finally, $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}) = \mathbb{R}R_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_0) = \mathbb{R}R_1$, where R_1 is given by (22).

7. Pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric spaces of signature $(4,4)$

Simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces with reductive holonomy algebras are classified by Berger in [6]. In [2] it is shown that pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric spaces of non-zero scalar curvature have reductive holonomy algebras and all these spaces were listed. In [1, 18] indecomposable simply connected pseudo-hyper-Kählerian symmetric spaces of signature $(4, 4n)$ are classified. Here we use the results of this paper to give new proof to the classification of simply connected pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric spaces of signature $(4,4)$ with non-irreducible holonomy algebras, in particular, we find the holonomy algebras and the curvature tensors of these spaces.

First we give some preliminaries. Recall that any simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space (M, g) of signature (r,s) is uniquely defined by a triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma, \eta)$ (*a symmetric triple*), where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie algebra, σ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$ and η is a non-degenerate $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}_+}$-invariant symmetric bilinear form of signature (r,s) on the vector space $\mathfrak{h}_-$. Here $\mathfrak{h}_\pm$ are the eigenspaces of σ corresponding to the eigenvalues

± 1. One may assume that $[\mathfrak{h}_-, \mathfrak{h}_-] = \mathfrak{h}_+$. The vector space $\mathfrak{h}_-$ can be identified with the tangent space to M at a fixed point $o \in M$. Then the form η is identified with the form g_o. The curvature tensor R_o of the manifold (M, g) is given by

$$R_o(x, y)z = -[[x, y], z],$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{h}_- = T_oM$. For the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the manifold (M, g) at the point $o \in M$ we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = R_o(T_oM, T_oM) = [\mathfrak{h}_-, \mathfrak{h}_-] = \mathfrak{h}_+.$$

Since $\nabla R = 0$, $\mathfrak{g}$ annihilates $R_o \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e.

$$A \cdot R_o = 0, \quad (A \cdot R_o)(x, y) = [A, R_o(x \wedge y)] - R_o(Ax \wedge y) - R_o(x \wedge Ay), \tag{24}$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. For a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ denote by $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g})$ the subset of $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting of curvature tensors R satisfying (24). If (M, g) is a symmetric space with the curvature tensor R and holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then $R_o \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g})$, and $R_o(\mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \mathbb{R}^{r,s}) = \mathfrak{g}$, where $o \in M$. Conversely, let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ be a subalgebra and $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g})$ with $R(\mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \mathbb{R}^{r,s}) = \mathfrak{g}$. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} + \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ with the Lie brackets

$$[x, y] = -R(x, y), \quad [A, x] = Ax, \quad [A, B] = A \circ B - B \circ A,$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$. Define the involutive automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{h}$ by $\sigma(A + x) = A - x$, where $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. We have $\mathfrak{h}_+ = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_- = \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Obviously, the pseudo-Euclidean metric η on $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ is $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}_+}$-invariant. We get the symmetric triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma, \eta)$ that defines a simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space of signature (r, s). Thus any pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space (M, g) of signature (r, s) defines a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ (*a symmetric pair*), where $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ is a subalgebra, $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{g} = R(\mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \mathbb{R}^{r,s})$ holds. Conversely, any such pair defines a simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space.

An isomorphism of symmetric triples $f : (\mathfrak{h}_1, \sigma_1, \eta_1) \to (\mathfrak{h}_2, \sigma_2, \eta_2)$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ such that $f \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ f$ and the induced map $f : \mathfrak{h}_{1-} \to \mathfrak{h}_{2-}$ is an isometry of the pseudo-Euclidean spaces $(\mathfrak{h}_{1-}, \eta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_{2-}, \eta_2)$. Isomorphic symmetric triples define isometric pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces. *An isomorphism* of symmetric pairs $f : (\mathfrak{g}_1, R_1) \to (\mathfrak{g}_2, R_2)$ consists of an isometry of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ that defines the equivalence of the representations $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ and sends R_1 to R_2. Obviously, two symmetric pairs are isomorphic if and only if they define isometric symmetric triples. For a positive real number $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, cR)$ define diffeomorphic simply connected symmetric spaces and the metrics of these spaces differ by the factor c. Note that the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, -R)$ can define non-isometric symmetric spaces, e.g. in the Riemannian case if $\mathfrak{g}$ is irreducible. In the case of neutral signature (r, r), we may pass from $(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ to $(\mathfrak{g}, -R)$ multiplying the metric by -1.

Theorem 4. *Let (M, g) be a non-flat simply connected pseudo-hyper-Kählerian symmetric space of signature $(4, 4)$ and $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, 1)$ its holonomy algebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves an isotropic 4-dimensional I_1, I_2, I_3-invariant subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$, and (M, g) is given by exactly one of the following symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, R)$:*

(1)

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, b \in \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H} \right\},$$

$$R(q, I_1 q) = -R(I_2 q, I_3 q) = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R(q, I_2 q) = R(I_1 q, I_3 q) = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R(q, I_3 q) = -R(I_1 q, I_2 q) = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(1+\lambda)k \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(I_r p, \cdot) = 0,$$

where $\lambda \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cup (0, +\infty)$ is a parameter.

(2)

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, b \in \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}j \right\}, \qquad R(q, I_1 q) = -R(I_2 q, I_3 q) = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$R(q, I_2 q) = R(I_1 q, I_3 q) = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(q, I_3 q) = R(I_1 q, I_2 q) = R(I_r p, \cdot) = 0.$$

Proof. It is well known that there are no Ricci-flat symmetric spaces with irreducible holonomy algebras, hence $\mathfrak{g}$ is not irreducible. First for each possible holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1,1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ we find the elements of $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $R(\mathbb{R}^{4,4}, \mathbb{R}^{4,4}) = \mathfrak{g}$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ contains $A = (1, 0)$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by (12). Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ annihilates R. Then

$$0 = (A \cdot R)(I_r q, I_s q) = (0, 2D_{rs}) + 2R(I_r q, I_s q) = 2(C_{rs}, 2D_{rs}),$$

hence, $R = 0$ and it does not span $\mathfrak{g}$.

Further, consider $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a, b) | \, a, b \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}\}$. Let $A = (a, 0)$, $a \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ and $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given as in Proposition 1. Then

$$(A \cdot R)(I_r q, I_s q) = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} C_{rs}a - aC_{rs} & 2 \operatorname{Im} D_{rs} a \\ 0 & C_{rs}a - aC_{rs} \end{pmatrix} - R(I_r aq, I_s q) - R(I_r q, I_s aq).$$

Since any $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by C_{01}, C_{02} and D_{01}, D_{02} it is enough to consider only the cases $r = 0$, $s = 1$ and $r = 0$, $s = 2$. Let $a = i$. We obtain

$$C_{01}i - iC_{01} = 0, \quad C_{02}i - iC_{02} = -2C_{03}. \tag{25}$$

For the last equation we also used (10). Similarly, taking $a = j$, $r = 0, s = 1$ and $r = 0, s = 2$, we get

$$C_{01}j - jC_{01} = 2C_{03}, \quad C_{02}j - jC_{02} = 0. \tag{26}$$

From the first equations of (25) and (26) it follows that $C_{01} = c_1 i$, $C_{02} = c_2 j$, where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that $C_{03} = C_{02}i - C_{01}j$, hence $C_{03} = -(c_2 + c_1)k$. From this and the second equations of (25) and (26) we get $c_1 = c_2 = 0$. Consequently, $C_{rs} = 0$ and $B_{rs} = 0$ for all r, s. Hence R does not span $\mathfrak{g}$.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ for the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \{(0, b) | b \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}\}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \{(0, b) | b \in \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}j\}$. Thus only these two Lie algebras may appear as the holonomy algebras of symmetric spaces.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \{(0, b) | b \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}\}$. We will check which $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ define different symmetric spaces. Recall that any $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is uniquely given by the numbers $d_1, ..., d_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ in the following way:

$$R(I_r p, \cdot) = 0, \quad R(I_r q, I_s q) = (0, D_{rs}),$$

where $D_{03} = jD_{01} - iD_{02}$, $D_{rs} = I_r D_{0s} - I_s D_{0r}$, $D_{01} = d_1 i + d_2 j + d_3 k$, $D_{02} = d_2 i + d_4 j + d_5 k$. This shows that R is defined by the values $R(q, yq)$, $y \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Define the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\varphi : \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \to \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ by the equality $R(q, yq) = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(y) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For its matrix with respect to the basis i, j, k we get $\operatorname{Mat}\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & d_3 \\ d_2 & d_4 & d_5 \\ d_3 & d_5 & -d_1 - d_4 \end{pmatrix}$. We see that the matrix $\operatorname{Mat}\varphi$ is symmetric and trace-free. The condition $R(\mathbb{R}^{4,4}, \mathbb{R}^{4,4}) = \mathfrak{g}$ is equivalent to $\det \operatorname{Mat}\varphi \neq 0$.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ be a symmetric pair as above. Consider the new basis $(p' = xp, q' = xq)$, where $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and $x\bar{x} = 1$. We get $R(q', yq') = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_1(y) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $y \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, $\varphi_1 : \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \to \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ is a linear map, and the matrix is considered with respect to the basis (p', q'). Then $R(q', yq') = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{x}\varphi_1(y)x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the basis (p, q). On the other hand,

$$R(q', yq') = R(xq, yxq) = R(q, \bar{x}yxq) = \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(\bar{x}yx) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the matrix is considered with respect to the basis (p, q). We conclude that $\bar{x}\varphi_1(y)x = \varphi(\bar{x}yx)$. Hence, $\varphi_1(y) = x\varphi(\bar{x}yx)\bar{x}$. Recall that the group of the unit quaternionic numbers acts on $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ as the group of special orthogonal transformations by the rule $x \cdot y = A_x y = \bar{x}yx$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Mat}\varphi_1 = (\operatorname{Mat} A_x)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{Mat}\varphi \cdot \operatorname{Mat} A_x,$$

where the matrices are considered with respect to the basis (i, j, k) of $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Consequently, there exists x such that $\operatorname{Mat}\varphi_1$ is a diagonal matrix. Let (μ, λ, υ) be its diagonal elements. Note that the triple (μ, λ, υ) is defined up to a permutation. By the above, $\mu\lambda\upsilon \neq 0$ and $\mu + \lambda + \upsilon = 0$. We may assume that $\mu > 0$. Since $(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, cR)$ $(c > 0)$ define the same symmetric space, we may take $\mu = 1$. Obviously, two symmetric pairs are equivalent if and only if they define the same unordered triples $(1, \lambda, \upsilon)$. Due to the symmetry between λ, υ, we get that any symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ is equivalent to exactly one symmetric pair given by the ordered triple $(1, \lambda, -1 - \lambda)$, where $\lambda \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cup (0, +\infty)$. This proves the statement for the first algebra.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \{(0, b) | b \in \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}j\}$. In this case any $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by the value $R(q, I_1 q) = \operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_{01} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $D_{01} = d_1 i + d_2 j$ $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Such R defines a linear map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}j \to \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}j$. It is symmetric and trace-free. As above, we may consider the new basis $(p' = xp, q' = xq)$, where $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and $x\bar{x} = 1$. We must assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ has the same matrix form with respect to the new basis, then either $x = x_0 + kx_3$, or $x = x_1 i + x_2 j$. We may find a basis such that φ is given by a diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements $(\mu, -\mu)$, the rescalling gives $\mu = 1$. Thus all symmetric pairs with this holonomy algebra define isometric simply connected symmetric spaces. □

Theorem 5. *Let (M, g) be a simply connected pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric space of signature $(4, 4)$ with non-irreducible holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1, 1)$ and non-zero scalar curvature. Then*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \left\{ \operatorname{Op}\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in \mathbb{H} \right\}$$

and (M, g) is given by $(\mathfrak{g}, R_1)$, where R_1 is defined by (22).

Note that the obtained symmetric space is isometric to $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{H})/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}) \times \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}))$. This follows from the classification of [2] and from the fact that $\mathrm{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{H})/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}) \times \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}))$ are the only non-flat simply connected pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric spaces with non-irreducible holonomy algebras [2, 6].

Proof. As in the previous theorem it is easy to prove that the first algebra of Theorem 3 satisfies $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}R_1$, where R_1 is defined by (22). The image of this tensor does not span $\mathfrak{g}$. For the second $\mathfrak{g}$ it holds $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}R_1$. In this case, R_1 do span $\mathfrak{g}$. □

References

References

[1] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, *Classification of indefinite hyper-Kähler symmetric spaces.* Asian J. Math. 5 (2001), no. 4, 663–684.

[2] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, *Classification of pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces of quaternionic Kähler type.* Lie groups and invariant theory, 33–62, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 213, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[3] L. Bérard Bergery, A. Ikemakhen, *On the Holonomy of Lorentzian Manifolds.* Proceeding of symposia in pure math., volume 54 (1993), 27–40.

[4] L. Bérard Bergery, A. Ikemakhen, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes de signature (n, n).* Bull. Soc. Math. France 125 (1997), no. 1, 93–114.

[5] M. Berger, *Sur les groupers d'holonomie des variétés àconnexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes.* Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279–330.

[6] M. Berger, *Les espace symétriques non compacts*, Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup. V.74 (1957), 85–177.

[7] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.

[8] N. I. Bezvitnaya, *Weakly irreducible subgroups of* $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)$. Arch. Math. (Brno) 44 (2008), no. 5, 341–352.

[9] R. L. Bryant, *Classical, exceptional, and exotic holonomies: a status report.* Actes de la Table Ronde de Géométrie Différentielle (Luminy, 1992), 93–165, Semin. Congr., 1, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996.
[10] A. S. Galaev, *Metrics that realize all Lorentzian holonomy algebras.* International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, Vol. 3 (2006), Nos. 5&6, 1025–1045.
[11] A. S. Galaev, *Holonomy groups and special geometric structures of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2.* Berlin: Humboldt-Univ., Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultat II (Dissertation). 135 p. (2006).
[12] A. S. Galaev, T. Leistner, *Holonomy groups of Lorentzian manifolds: classification, examples, and applications.* Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, 53–96, ESI Lect. Math. Phys., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.
[13] A. S. Galaev, T. Leistner, *Recent development in pseudo-Riemannian holonomy theory.* Handbook of Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry, 528–628, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. Vol. 16, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010.
[14] R. Ghanam, G. Thompson, *The holonomy Lie algebras of neutral metrics in dimension four.* J. Math. Phys. 42 (2001), no. 5, 2266–2284.
[15] G. W. Gibbons, *Holonomy Old and New*, Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement No. 177 (2009), 33–41.
[16] A. Ikemakhen, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes de signature* $(2, 2 + n)$. Publ. Mat. 43 (1999), no. 1, 55–84.
[17] D. Joyce, *Riemannian holonomy groups and calibrated geometry.* Oxford University Press, 2007.
[18] I. Kath, M. Olbrich, *New examples of indefinite hyper-Kähler symmetric spaces.* J. Geom. Phys. 57 (2007), no. 8, 1697–1711.
[19] I. Kath, M. Olbrich, *The classification problem for pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces.* Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, 1–52, ESI Lect. Math. Phys., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.
[20] T. Leistner, *On the classification of Lorentzian holonomy groups.* J. Differential Geom. 76 (2007), no. 3, 423–484.
[21] *Quaternionic structures in mathematics and physics.* Proceedings of the 2nd Meeting held in Rome, September 6–10, 1999. Ed. S. Marchiafava, P. Piccinni and M. Pontecorvo. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001. xvi+469 pp.
[22] S. M. Salamon, *Differential geometry of quaternionic manifolds.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), no. 1, 31–55.
[23] J. F. Schell, *Classification of four-dimensional Riemannian spaces.* J. Math. Phys. 2 (1961), 202–206.
[24] L. J. Schwachhöfer, *Connections with irreducible holonomy representations.* Adv. Math. 160 (2001), no. 1, 1–80.
[25] A. Swann, *Hyper-Kähler and quaternionic Kähler geometry.* Math. Ann. 289 (1991), no. 3, 421–450.
[26] H. Wu, *On the de Rham decomposition theorem.* Illinois J. Math., 8 (1964), 291–311.